Applicant: Vorozhtsov et al.

Application No.: 10/540,948

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, Claims 1-4 and 12-15 are currently pending

in this application. Claims 5-11 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1-3

have been amended. New claims 12-15 are added. Applicants submit that no new

matter has been introduced into the application by these amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112, first paragraph

Claims 1- 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph,

because the specification does not provide enablement of using an infrared camera

in the range of 3-12 µm. Claims 1- 11 have also been rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§112, first paragraph, for violation of written description requirement for the same

reason.

Applicants disagree with the Examiner. However, in order to expedite the

examination, claims 1-3 have been amended to remove the feature that the infrared

camera is operating in an infrared range of 3-12 µm.

Therefore, withdrawal of 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103(a)

Claims 1-4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatenbable over

U.S. Patent No. 7,094,164 to Marty et al. (hereinafter "Marty") in view of U.S.

Patent No. 5,206,503 to Toops (hereinafter "Toops").

Applicant: Vorozhtsov et al.

Application No.: 10/540,948

With respect to claim 1, Marty and Toops fail to teach recording trajectories of infrared footmarks resulting from an interaction of the object with a surrounding

object or a surrounding environment.

In Marty, an infrared light source illuminates the object and an infrared

camera detects an infrared light reflected from the object, (i.e., the trajectory of the

sport object itself is detected and recorded). In contrast, in claim 1, the trajectory of

the infrared footmark resulting from an interaction of the object with a surrounding

object or environment is recorded.

The infrared footmark refers to a part or entire surface of an object (ball,

court, medium) having a temperature differing from that of the environment or

other parts of the object, and the footmarks may result from the contact of the object

with another object or surface. The infrared footmark results from an interaction

of the object with a surrounding object or environment, (e.g., resulting from the

impact with another object or surroundings). For example, in tennis, the infrared

footmarks may be formed as a result of an impact to a ball by a racket or with a

surface of the court; (see paragraph 0016). The infrared footmark may have a

positive value if it results from inelastic impingement of two objects, and in this

case the temperature of the contact area would be higher than that of surrounding

bodies or parts of the object; (see paragraph 0013). The captured infrared footmarks

are thermal changes in the place of an impact of a ball, (for example, with the court

surface), wherein the thermal footmark remains for some time period both on the

- 5 -

Applicant: Vorozhtsov et al. Application No.: 10/540,948

court surface and on the ball surface. In contrast, Marty merely discloses detecting

the object itself.

Toops is cited for teaching that the infrared detector device is tuned to 3-5

μm and 8-12 μm. The feature operating an infrared camera in an infrared range of

3-12 um has been removed from claims 1-3. Therefore, Toops is irrelevant.

Marty and Toops fail to teach recording trajectories of infrared footmarks

resulting from an interaction of the object with a surrounding object or

environment. Therefore, claim 1 and its dependent claims are not obvious over

Marty and Toops.

With respect to claim 2, Marty fails to teach recording trajectories of the

infrared footmarks in different spectral ranges within a middle infrared range.

Marty discloses that the object may be recorded both in infrared and visible light

range. However, Marty further discloses that when the camera records both

infrared and visible light, the camera may include filters for minimizing visible

light to enhance the infrared signature of objects. Therefore, Marty teaches away

from recording the footmarks in different ranges. In addition, Marty fails to teach

recoding the footmarks in different ranges within a middle infrared range.

Therefore, claim 2 is not obvious over Marty and Toops.

With respect to claim 3, Marty fails to teach recording trajectories of shadows

resulting from an interaction of the object with concentrated or distributed external

sources of infrared radiation within a middle infrared range. By recoding the

- 6 -

Application No.: 10/540,948

Applicant: Vorozhtsov et al.

shadow, as a supplementary factor, a more accurate calculation of the object

movement parameters is achieved.

Examiner asserts that even though Marty does not explicitly describe the use

of object shadow, consideration of the object shadow is understood as inherent since

without such consideration the system of Marty would not function as disclosed.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Marty discloses detecting the infrared which

illuminated by the light source and reflected from the object, and uses only that

information for calculating the trajectory of the object. Marty fails to teach

recording the shadow of the object and using it as a supplementary factor for

calculating the object trajectory. Therefore, claim 3 is not obvious over Marty and

Toops.

- 7 -

Applicant: Vorozhtsov et al.

Application No.: 10/540,948

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be

addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a

telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the

Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully

submit that the present application, including claims 1-4 and 12-15, is in condition

for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted.

Vorozhtsov et al

By /Yong B. Hwang/ Yong B. Hwang

Registration No. 61,373

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza 30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-4009 Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

YBH/srp

- 8 -